

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

34431 7590 08/21/2006 EXAMINER HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC 20 N. WACKER DRIVE	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC 20 N. WACKER DRIVE	10/728,403 12/05/2003		Wilhelm Fischbach	20020/10012	9746
20 N. WACKER DRIVE	1 7590	7590 08/21/2006	EXAMINER		
APPENDITE PAREN	•		JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
		ER DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606 3641	ICAGO, IL 6	L 60606	3641		

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/728,403	3	FISCHBACH ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Stephen M	Johnson	3641				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. cutory period w vill, by statute,	ATE OF THI 66(a). In no ever vill apply and will cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>12 Ju</i>	ne 2006.						
'=	, , ,			n-final.					
- '=	·—								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restrictio	n and/or e	election requ	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	г.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)[objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the c	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correcti	on is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
	The oath or declaration is objected to		•	=	•				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Some * c) None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation the ethe attached detailed Office actions	documents documents of the prior nal Bureau	s have beer s have beer ity docume i (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/728,403 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

1. Note that the restriction requirement imposed on 8/31/2005 has been revised in response to issues previously raised by applicant. The finality of the requirement is removed in order to give applicant an opportunity to respond to the amended restriction requirement and/or alter the elected invention.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 2-18 and 20-21 drawn to a dust cover in combination with a magnetic lock, classified in class 70, subclass 159.
 - II. Claims 19 and 22-23, drawn to a breech block in combination with a dust cover and associated method of operating or manufacturing a firearm with breech block in combination with a dust cover, classified in class 42, subclass 90.
- 3. Claim 1 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory

Art Unit: 3641

double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as evidenced by claims [claims 4 or 13 (denote Bsp)] and [claims 22 or 23 (denote ABbr)]. The subcombination has separate utility such as utility on a firearm at a location remote from the firearm breechblock or with firearm lacking a reciprocating breechblock.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, different search, and recognized divergence in subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete **must include (i) an**election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37
 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Art Unit: 3641

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-6877 and whose e-mail address is (Stephen.Johnson@uspto.gov). The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The Central FAX phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 800-786-9199.

STEPHEN M. JOHNSC

later lan

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ August 17, 2006